## Read In Open Court 1/31/23 Wil

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BOARD OF REGENTS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS and TISSUEGEN, INC.,		) ) )
	Plaintiffs,	) C.A. No. 1:18-cv-00392-GBW
v.		) C.A. No. 1:18-cv-00392-GBW
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,		)
	Defendant.	)

# VERDICT FORM (PHASE 2)

Instructions: When answering the following questions and completing this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided and follow the Jury Instructions that you have been given. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

#### As used herein:

- 1. "UTBOR" refers to Plaintiff The Board of Regents, The University of Texas System;
- 2. "TissueGen" refers to Plaintiff TissueGen, Inc.;
- 3. "Boston Scientific" refers to Defendant Boston Scientific Corporation; and
- 4. The "'296 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 6,596,296.

### **QUESTION NO. 1:**

Did UTBOR and TissueGen prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Boston Scientific induced infringement of any of the following claims of the '296 Patent?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of UTBOR and TissueGen.

"No" is a finding in favor of Boston Scientific.

	YES	NO
Claim 1	yes	
Claim 11	405	
Claim 17	Yes	
Claim 26	yes	

### **QUESTION NO. 2:**

Did UTBOR and TissueGen prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Boston Scientific's infringement of any of the claims of the '296 Patent was willful?

"Yes" is a finding in favor of UTBOR and TissueGen.

"No" is a finding in favor of Boston Scientific.

**QUESTION NO. 3:** 

What amount of damages have UTBOR and TissueGen proven by a preponderance of the evidence that they are entitled to as a reasonable royalty for Boston Scientific's infringement of the '296 patent between November 20, 2017 and August 18, 2020?

Answer: \$ 42,000,000 Forty-Two million

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and you should review it to ensure that it entirely reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign the verdict form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it to the courtroom with the jury.

Signed:

REDAGTED

Dated: 315An 2023